

***EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT***

1. . An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it **MUST** be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.
2. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Charles W. Griggers (Reg. No. 47283) on 06 May 2008.
3. The claims had been amended as presented in the fax received on **08 May 2008** and hereto attached.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

4. Claims **1, 5-19, 22-23, 25 and 27-28** are allowed.
  - a. As to **claim 1**, the prior art of record does not expressly teach creating an object descriptor for the remote object in which the step of creating the object descriptor for the remote object comprises the step of storing the object descriptor in a cache for later retrieval, the later retrieval being responsive to a request to create an instance of the remote object; producing remote object data associated with the remote object to discover an object interface dynamically in which the step of producing the remote object data comprises the step of introspecting the remote object

Art Unit: 2195

to produce introspection data and in which the remote object data comprises the introspection data produced by said introspecting when taken in the context of the claim as a whole. Moreover, the art of record does not provide a basis of evidence for asserting a motivation driven from the art or from one knowledgeable in the art, that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have modified a remote object invocation method for invoking a method of a remote object of a remote server to combine the disclosed limitations as recited in the context of **Claim 1**.

b. As to **Claim 16** being directed to a method having substantially the same limitations as **Claim 1**, this claim is allowable for the same reasoning as recited in **Claims 1** above.

c. As to **Claim 17**, being directed to a method having substantially the same limitations as **Claim 1**, this claim is allowable for the same reasoning as recited in **Claims 1** above.

d. As to **Claim 19**, being directed to a method having substantially the same limitations as **Claim 1**, this claim is allowable for the same reasoning as recited in **Claims 1** above.

e. As to **Claim 23**, being directed to a system having substantially the same limitations as **Claim 1**, this claim is allowable for the same reasoning as recited in **Claims 1** above.

f. As to **Claim 25**, being directed to a system having substantially the same limitations as **Claim 1**, this claim is allowable for the same reasoning as recited in **Claims 1** above.

5. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Exr. Abdou Seye whose telephone number is (571) 270-1062. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, contact the examiner's supervisor, An Meng at (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for formal or official faxes to Technology Center 3600 is (571) 273-8300. Draft or informal faxes, which will not be entered in the application, may be submitted directly to the examiner at (571) 273-6722.

Art Unit: 2195

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3600.

AKS

May 08, 2008

/Meng-Ai An/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195